19 SEP 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Deputy Director for Science and

Technology

ATTENTION

: Director of Research and Development

VIA

Deputy Director for Administration 2000 1975

SUBJECT

: Computer Assisted Polygraph

Office of Security's position regarding two aspects of the Computer Assisted Polygraph (CAP) issue: Whether or not to install some form of CAP, and whether to declassify the techniques and data involved in the CAP study which was conducted by

INSTALLATION OF A CAP SYSTEM

2. Our Office recommends against installation of such a system. The possible benefits do not appear to justify the costs of installation, maintenance, and updating or modifying any CAP system that we can presently visualize as evolving from existing system. 25X1A5a' Its operational use seems unlikely for the foreseeable future, its use for research and training seems marginal, and any changes in polygraph instrumentation or precedures would probably require significant revisions of a CAP setme to achieve compatibility.

DECLASSIFICATION

25X1A5a1

the experience they gained during the CAP studies to as great a degree as is possible without jeopardy to CIA's security interests. In greater detail:

CONFIDENTIAL CL BY 010249

0,3 3.8%

CONFIDENTIAL

- 4. Technology: It is our understanding that the basic technology involved in the study is not classified, although the contract with specifies that the 25X1A5a1 "reports" are. We feel that to avoid confusion, should be told that they are free to discuss the technology but that the reports they have prepared for CIA should in themselves continue to be regarded as classified.
- S. CIA Sponsorship: While this is a somewhat complex and sensitive point, we feel on balance that it should be declassified, but that should be requested not 25X1A5a1 to advertise the relationship. Potential problems are the publicity itself; possible exposure of three CIA staff employees who are under cover but known to employees as having been involved in the project; and the possible burden of freedom of Information inquiries regarding the program. Favorable considerations are:
 - a. Publicity could be more favorable than unfavorable in its impact, indicating that CIA is serious about improving its research program and scientific in its approach; and that it feels an obligation to the public to make its technological advances available whenever there is no compelling reason to do otherwise. It should be noted that LEAA is currently funding a study which CAP experience could possibly expedite.

25X1A5a1

- b. A public statement prepared for the 5 June 1974 congressional hearings in effect exposed the essence of the CAP study.
- c. The program was completely legal, proper, and productive, and in its general aspects seems to contain nothing the revelation of which would conflict with the sources and methods responsibility of the DCI.
- d. Regarding FOI inquiries, a "reasonable guess" inquiry could quite easily occur and result in an equivalent problem even if we do not declassify.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A5a1

e. can be informed that the names of CIA employees known to them must remain classified. If this is done, the risk of cover compromise would seem minimal.

25X1A5a1

enly way can express the accuracy of the CAP system is in terms of agreement with examiner judgments. Since the system used in making these measurements was quite complicated, the only way the significance of such figures can be understood is by comparison with inter-examiner agreement figures measured by the same rules. Standing opposed to this is the fact that we would prefer not to publish CIA internal performance data. We feel should be free to describe how 25X1A5a1 the agreement studies were conducted and to state that the CAP's performance on large masses of data was closely comparable to that of averaged or grouped examiner performance. However, should be prohibited from citing exact agreement or stress-detection figures exemplifying CIA performance.

25X1A5a1

7. Total Number of Cases Involved in Training and 25X1A5a1 Validating the CAP System: It would be useful to to be able to refer to this and we see no reason to promise the case of the ca

25X1A5a1

- probably has no data of this type in its possession. However, to avoid confusion, we feel they should be informed that any information related to this topic is to be regarded as classified.
- 9. IBM Cards or Other CIA-generated Data Used for Training and Validating the CAP: These materials should be returned to CIA for destruction.
- 10. Please do not hesitate to call my office should you have any questions or comments concerning the above. With reference to the declassification of CAP technology, we would welcome an opportunity to meet with representatives of your office to discuss the procedures involved.

25X1A9a

Acting Mirector of Security

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Adse 2 - DD/A

1 - AD/S

2 - OS/IB

Approved For Release 2001/05/02: CIA-RDP83B01823800020050069-3
25X1A9a OS/PSI/SSD/IB/